· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/845,293	SAITOH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Truc T Chuong	2179
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>DARLEEN J. STOCKLEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) TRUC T. CHUONG.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2004</u>	Time: <u>3:00 pm</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Amendment A		
Claims discussed:		
1 and 10		•
Prior art documents discussed: Prouty, IV et al.		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant and Examiner discussed and agreed to cancel claim 1 Examiner permission to correct the issue on Examiner's Amenda	0 of the existing amended claims,	
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate a directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
BA HUYNH FRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20040423